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#### Abstract

We study the number of queries at randomly selected nodes that are needed for approximate network discovery. For the approximate discovery of Erdős-Rényi random graphs $G_{n, p}$ in the layered graph query model, we show that a constant number of queries is sufficient if $p$ is a constant, but $\Omega\left(n^{\alpha}\right)$ queries are needed if $p=n^{\varepsilon-1}$ for arbitrarily small $\varepsilon>0$, where $\alpha>0$ is a constant depending only on $\varepsilon$.


A fundamental problem in the study of complex networks is how to obtain accurate information about the topology of a network using a limited number of measurements or observations. For example, attempts to map the Internet can be based on traceroute experiments [3] or on the analysis of BGP routing tables [5]. A simplified theoretical model of such network discovery settings, the so-called layered graph query model, has been introduced in [1]. The goal is to discover the edges and non-edges (for $u, v \in V$, we call $\{u, v\}$ a non-edge if it is not an edge of the graph) of an unknown graph or network $G=(V, E)$ using queries; a query at a node $v$ reveals all edges and non-edges whose endpoints have different distance from $v$. Preliminary simulation experiments with (scale-free as well as Erdős-Rényi) random graphs reported in [4] indicate that the number of queries needed to discover all edges and non-edges typically grows with the size of the graph, as expected, but in some cases appears to be bounded by a small constant independent of the size of the graph if only a large fraction (say, $95 \%$ ) of the edges and of the non-edges needs to be discovered. This shows that for the practically relevant goal of approximate network discovery, a surprisingly small number of queries is often sufficient. Now we study this phenomenon analytically for Erdős-Rényi random graphs $G_{n, p}$. These are graphs on $n$ nodes in which each possible edge is present independently with probability $p$. We consider the simple query strategy that selects the query nodes uniformly at random. We say that a set of random queries approximately discovers $G_{n, p}$ in expectation, if the expected number of edges discovered by the queries is at least $\rho|E|$, and the analogous condition is satisfied for non-edges. Here, $\rho$ is a constant such as 0.95 . Surprisingly, we find that if $p$ is a constant strictly between 0 and 1 (i.e., if we consider dense $G_{n, p}$ graphs), then a constant number of query nodes is sufficient to approximately discover $G_{n, p}$ in expectation, but if $p=n^{\varepsilon} / n$ for an arbitrarily small constant $\varepsilon>0$, then $\Omega\left(n^{\alpha}\right)$ queries are necessary, where $\alpha>0$ is a constant depending on $\varepsilon$. Our results show that the number of random queries needed to approximately discover $G_{n, p}$ depends on the density of the graph, and in the query model considered it is actually easier to discover dense random graphs than relatively sparse ones.

Our analysis for constant $p$ is based on the observation that the probability that a query at node $q$ discovers an edge or non-edge $\{u, v\}$ is at least $2 p(1-p)$, the probability that $q$ is adjacent to one of $u, v$ but not the other. For the case of $p=n^{\varepsilon} / n$, we use bounds from [2] on the size of the $i$-neighborhood and on the size of the $i$-th breadth-first search layer of a node in $G_{n, p}$. These bounds allow us to show that for an edge or non-edge $\{u, v\}$, a query node $q$ is very likely to have the same distance from $u$ and $v$ (and thus does not discover the edge or non-edge). It would be interesting to extend the analysis to scale-free random graphs.
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